

MICHELLE BETANCOURT
California Bar No. 215035
FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF SAN DIEGO, INC.
225 Broadway, Suite 900
San Diego, California 92101-5008
Telephone: (619) 234-8467

Attorneys for Mr. Lopez-Gonzales

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(HONORABLE ROGER T. BENITEZ)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 07CR3393-BEN
Plaintiff,)
v.)
LAZARO LOPEZ-GONZALES,) STATEMENT OF FACTS AND
Defendant.) MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
) AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS

L.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On June 20, 2007, the grand jury for the Southern District of California returned a one count indictment charging Mr. Lopez-Gonzales with a violation of Title 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(5)(A), illegal alien in possession of ammunition.

These motions follow.

11

11

11

11

11

1 II.
2
3**THIS COURT SHOULD COMPEL DISCOVERY AND ORDER**
THE PRESERVATION OF THE EVIDENCE

4 Mr. Lopez-Gonzales moves for the production by the government of the following discovery
5 and for the preservation of evidence. This request is not limited to those items about which the prosecutor
6 knows, but includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, control, care, or knowledge of any
7 government agency. See generally Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419 (1995); United States v. Bryan, 868 F.2d
8 1032 (9th Cir. 1989). To date, the defendant has received 54 pages of discovery.

9 1. The Defendant's Statements. The Government must disclose to the defendant all copies
10 of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements (even if not
11 written or recorded) made by the defendant which the Government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any
12 response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements which the Government
13 intends to introduce at trial and any written summaries of the defendant's oral statements contained in the
14 handwritten notes of the Government agent; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been
15 given to the defendant; and any other statements by the defendant. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(A). The
16 Advisory Committee Notes and the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the Government must
17 reveal all the defendant's statements, whether oral or written, regardless of whether the government intends
18 to make any use of those statements.

19 2. Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes. The defense also specifically requests that all
20 arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest
21 or any questioning, if such reports have not already been produced in their entirety, be turned over to his.
22 This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents
23 in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. This is all discoverable
24 under FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also Loux v.
25 United States, 389 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1968). Arrest reports, investigator's notes, memos from arresting
26 officers, dispatch tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution reports pertaining to the defendant are available

1 under FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(B) and (C), FED. R. CRIM. P. 26.2 and 12(i). Preservation of rough notes
2 is requested, whether or not the government deems them discoverable.

3 3. Brady Material. The defendant requests all documents, statements, agents' reports, and
4 tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the credibility of the
5 government's case. Impeachment and exculpatory evidence both fall within Brady's definition of evidence
6 favorable to the accused. United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S.
7 97 (1976).

8 4. Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence. As discussed above, any
9 information which may result in a more favorable sentence must also be disclosed pursuant to Brady v.
10 Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). The Government must disclose any cooperation or attempted cooperation
11 by the defendant, as well as any information that could affect any base offense level or specific offense
12 characteristic under Chapter Two of the Guidelines. Also included in this request is any information
13 relevant to a Chapter Three adjustment, a determination of the defendant's criminal history, or any other
14 application of the Guidelines.

15 5. The Defendant's Prior Record. Evidence of a prior record is available under FED. R. CRIM.
16 P. 16(a)(1)(B). Counsel specifically requests a complete copy of any criminal record.

17 6. Any Proposed 404(b) Evidence. Evidence of prior similar acts is discoverable under FED.
18 R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(C) and FED. R. EVID. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under FED. R. EVID. 404(b), "upon
19 request of the accused, the prosecution . . . shall provide reasonable notice in advance of trial . . . of the
20 general nature . . ." of any evidence the government proposes to introduce under FED. R. EVID. 404(b) at
21 trial. Sufficient notice requires the government to "articulate precisely the evidential hypothesis by which
22 a fact of consequence may be inferred from the other acts evidence." United States v. Mehrmanesh, 689 F.2d
23 822, 830 (9th Cir. 1982) (emphasis added; internal citations omitted); see also United States v. Brooke, 4
24 F.3d 1480, 1483 (9th Cir. 1993) (reaffirming Mehrmanesh and reversing convictions).

25 This includes any "TECS" records (records of prior border crossings) that the Government
26 intends to introduce at trial, whether in its case-in-chief, impeachment, or rebuttal. Although there is nothing
27 intrinsically improper about prior border crossings, they are nonetheless subject to 404(b), as they are "other

1 acts" evidence that the government must produce before trial. United States v. Vega, 188 F.3d 1150, 1154-
2 55 (9th Cir. 1999).¹

3 The defendant requests that such notice be given three weeks before trial to give the defense
4 time to adequately investigate and prepare for trial.

5 7. Evidence Seized. Evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless or with a
6 warrant, is discoverable under FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(C).

7 8. Request for Preservation of Evidence. The defense specifically requests that all dispatch
8 tapes or any other physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise put out of the possession,
9 custody, or care of the government and which relate to the arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this
10 case be preserved. This request includes, but is not limited to, the results of any fingerprint analysis, the
11 vehicle involved in the case (including all parts of the vehicle and items within the vehicle), the defendant's
12 personal effects, and any evidence seized from the defendant, any material witness, or any other third party.
13 This request also includes any material or percipient witnesses who might be deported or otherwise likely
14 to become unavailable (e.g., undocumented aliens and transients).

15 It is requested that the prosecutor be ordered to question all the agencies and individuals
16 involved in the prosecution and investigation of this case to determine if such evidence exists, and if it does
17 exist, to inform those parties to preserve any such evidence.

18 9. Henthorn Material. The defendant requests that the Assistant United States Attorney
19 ("AUSA") assigned to this case oversee (not personally conduct) a review of all personnel files of each agent
20 involved in the present case for impeachment material. See Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 437, 438 (1995)
21 (holding that "the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others
22 acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police"); United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d
23 29 (9th Cir. 1991). This request includes, but is not limited to, any complaints filed (by a member of the
24 public, by another agent, or any other person) against the agent, whether or not the investigating authority

25 1

26 It bears repeating that under Ninth Circuit case law and the advisory notes to Rule 404(b) the government
27 must turn over any "other acts" evidence it intends to use in its case-in-chief, for impeachment, or as
possible rebuttal. See Vega, 188 F.3d 1154-55.

1 has taken any action, as well as any matter for which a disciplinary review was undertaken, whether or not
2 any disciplinary action was ultimately recommended. The defendant further requests production of any such
3 information at least one week prior to the motion hearing and two weeks prior to trial. If the prosecutor is
4 uncertain whether certain information should be disclosed pursuant to this request, this information should
5 be produced to the Court in advance of the motion hearing and the trial for an in camera inspection.

6 10. Tangible Objects. The defendant requests the opportunity to inspect, copy, and test, as
7 necessary, all other documents and tangible objects, including photographs, books, papers, documents,
8 fingerprint analyses, vehicles, or copies of portions thereof, which are material to the defense or intended
9 for use in the government's case-in-chief or were obtained from or belong to the defendant. FED. R. CRIM.
10 P. 16(a)(1)(C). Specifically, the defendant requests copies of all photographs in the government's possession
11 of the alleged compartment.

12 11. Expert Witnesses. The defendant requests the name, qualifications, and a written
13 summary of the testimony of any person that the government intends to call as an expert witness during its
14 case in chief. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(E). This summary should include a description of the witness'
15 opinion(s), as well as the bases and the reasons for the opinion(s). See United States v. Duvall, 272 F.3d
16 825 (7th Cir. 2001) (finding that government's written expert notice did not adequately summarize or
17 describe police detective's testimony in drug prosecution where notice provided only a list of the general
18 subject matters to be covered and failed to identify what opinion the expert would offer on those subjects).
19 This request includes, but is not limited to, disclosure of the qualifications of any government witness who
20 will testify that she understands and/or speaks Spanish or any other foreign language that may have been
21 used during the course of an interview with the defendant or any other witness.

22 The defense requests the notice of expert testimony be provided at a minimum of two weeks
23 prior to trial so that the defense can properly prepare to address and respond to this testimony, including
24 obtaining its own expert and/or investigating the opinions, credentials of the government's expert and obtain
25 a hearing in advance of trial to determine the admissibility of qualifications of any expert. See Kumho v.
26 Carmichael Tire Co., 526 U.S. 137, 119 S. Ct. 1167, 1176 (1999) (trial judge is "gatekeeper" and must

1 determine, reliability and relevancy of expert testimony and such determinations may require "special
2 briefing or other proceedings")

3 12. Impeachment evidence. The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective
4 government witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not resulting in a conviction and whether
5 any witness has made a statement favorable to the defendant. See FED. R. EVID. 608, 609 and 613. Such
6 evidence is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland, supra. See United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th
7 Cir. 1988) (witness' prior record); Thomas v. United States, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence that
8 detracts from a witness' credibility).

9 13. Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness. The defense requests
10 any evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state or local authorities for any
11 criminal conduct. United States v. Chitty, 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir. 1985).

12 14. Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie. The defense requests any evidence that any
13 prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant, or has a motive to falsify or
14 distort his or her testimony. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987); United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d
15 1197 (9th Cir. 1988).

16 15. Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate, or Veracity. The
17 defendant requests any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric report or evaluation, tending to show
18 that any prospective witness's ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the truth is impaired; and
19 any evidence that a witness has ever used narcotics or other controlled substance, or has ever been an
20 alcoholic. United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213,
21 224 (4th Cir. 1980).

22 16. Witness Addresses. The defense requests the name and last known address of each
23 prospective government witness. See United States v. Napue, 834 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir. 1987); United States
24 v. Tucker, 716 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure to interview government witnesses by counsel is
25 ineffective); United States v. Cook, 608 F.2d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979) (defense has equal right to talk to
26 witnesses). The defendant also requests the name and last known address of every witness to the crime or

27
28

1 crimes charged (or any of the overt acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a
2 government witness. United States v. Cadet, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984).

3 17. Name of Witnesses Favorable to the Defendant. The defendant requests the name of any
4 witness who made any arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant or who could not identify his
5 or who was unsure of his identity, or participation in the crime charged. Jackson v. Wainwright, 390 F.2d
6 288 (5th Cir. 1968); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 223 (4th Cir. 1980); Jones v. Jago, 575 F.2d
7 1164, 1168 (6th Cir. 1978); Hudson v. Blackburn, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1086
8 (1980).

9 18. Statements Relevant to the Defense. The defendant requests disclosure of any statement
10 that may be "relevant to any possible defense or contention" that she might assert. United States v.
11 Bailleaux, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982). This includes Grand Jury transcripts which are relevant to the
12 defense motion to dismiss the indictment.

13 19. Jencks Act Material. The defendant requests production in advance of the motion
14 hearing or trial of all material, including dispatch tapes, which the government must produce pursuant to the
15 Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500 and FED. R. CRIM. P. 26.2. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes
16 constitute an accurate account of the witness' interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a
17 statement under section 3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States, 373 U.S. 487, 490-92 (1963); see also
18 United States v. Boshell, 952 F.2d 1101 (9th Cir. 1991) (holding that interview notes constitutes Jencks
19 material when an agent reviews notes with the subject of the interview); see also United States v. Riley, 189
20 F.3d 802, 806-08 (9th Cir. 1999). Advance production will avoid the possibility of delay of the motion
21 hearing or trial to allow the defendant to investigate the Jencks material. Defendant requests pre-trial
22 disclosure of such statements to avoid unnecessary recesses and delays and to allow defense counsel to
23 prepare for, and use properly any Jencks statements during cross-examination.

24 20. Giglio Information. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), the
25 defendant requests all statements and/or promises, expressed or implied, made to any government witnesses,
26 in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information which could arguably be used for the
27 impeachment of any government witnesses.

1 21. Agreements Between the Government and Witnesses. The defendant requests discovery
2 regarding any express or implicit promise, understanding, offer of immunity, of past, present, or future
3 compensation, or any other kind of agreement or understanding, including any implicit understanding
4 relating to criminal or civil income tax, forfeiture or fine liability, between any prospective government
5 witness and the government (federal, state and/or local). This request also includes any discussion with a
6 potential witness about or advice concerning any immigration benefits, any contemplated prosecution, or
7 any possible plea bargain, even if no bargain was made or the advice not followed.

8 22. Informants and Cooperating Witnesses. The defendant requests disclosure of the names
9 and addresses of all informants or cooperating witnesses used or to be used in this case, and in particular,
10 disclosure of any informant who was a percipient witness in this case or otherwise participated in the crime
11 charged against the defendant. The government must disclose the informant's identity and location, as well
12 as disclose the existence of any other percipient witness unknown or unknowable to the defense. Roviaro
13 v. United States, 353 U.S. 52, 61-62 (1957). The government must disclose any information derived from
14 informants which exculpates or tends to exculpate the defendant.

15 23. Bias by Informants or Cooperating Witnesses. The defendant requests disclosure of any
16 information indicating bias on the part of any informant or cooperating witness. Giglio v. United States, 405
17 U.S. 150 (1972). Such information would include what, if any, inducements, favors, payments or threats
18 were made to the witness to secure cooperation with the authorities.

19 24. Personnel Records of Government Officers Involved in the Arrest. Defendant requests
20 all citizen complaints and other related internal affairs documents involving any of the immigration officers
21 or other law enforcement officers who were involved in the investigation, arrest and interrogation of
22 Defendant. See Pitchess v. Superior Court, 11 Cal. 3d 531, 539 (1974). Because of the sensitive nature of
23 these documents, defense counsel will be unable to procure them from any other source.

24 25. Training of Relevant Law Enforcement Officers. Defendant requests copies of all
25 written, videotaped or otherwise recorded policies or training instructions or manuals issued by all law
26 enforcement agencies involved in the case (United States Customs Service, Border Patrol, INS, Homeland
27 Security, etc.) to their employees regarding: (a) the handling of vehicles suspected to be transporting

1 contraband across the port of entry; (b) the referral to secondary inspection of persons within those vehicles;
2 (c) the detention of individuals within those vehicles; (d) the search of those vehicles and the occupants of
3 those vehicles, including the proper means of obtaining consent to search and what constitutes consent to
4 search; (e) the informing of suspects of their Constitutional rights; (f) the questioning of suspects and
5 witnesses. Defendant also requests all written or otherwise attainable information regarding the training of
6 Customs agents at ports of entry in California to detect or discover narcotics and/or people in vehicles
7 entering the United States, including any training offered to Border Patrol, INS, or officers of Homeland
8 Security Department, by the DEA, or other law enforcement agencies or individuals.

9 26. Performance Goals and Policy Awards. Defendant requests disclosure of information
10 regarding standards used for measuring, compensating or reprimanding the conduct of all law enforcement
11 officers involved in the case (Customs, Border Patrol, INS, etc.) to the extent such information relates to the
12 detection of contraband. This request specifically includes information concerning performance goals,
13 policy awards, and the standards used by Customs for commending, demoting, or promoting agents for their
14 performance at the port of entry and their success or failure to detect illegal narcotics and/or people in
15 general.

16 27. TECS Reports. Defendant requests all TECS reports, including reports pertaining to all
17 vehicle border crossings pertaining to the vehicle used in this case and any vehicles pertaining to Defendant.

18 28. Reports of Scientific Tests or Examinations. Pursuant to FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(D),
19 the defendant requests the reports of all tests and examinations conducted upon the evidence in this case.
20 Including, but not limited to, any fingerprint testing done upon any evidence seized in this case, that is within
21 the possession, custody, or control of the government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise
22 of due diligence may become known, to the attorney for the government, and which are material to the
23 preparation of the defense or are intended for use by the government as evidence in chief at the trial.

24 29. Residual Request. The defense intends by this discovery motion to invoke his rights to
25 discovery to the fullest extent possible under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Constitution
26 and laws of the United States. This request specifically includes all subsections of Rule 16. The defendant
27
28

1 requests that the government provide him and his attorney with the above requested material sufficiently in
2 advance of trial.

III.

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

Mr. Lopez-Gonzales and defense counsel have received 77 pages of discovery in this case.

6 As information surfaces due to the government providing discovery in response to these motions or an order
7 of this Court, the defense will file further motions. Therefore, defense counsel requests the opportunity to
8 file further motions.

IV.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Lopez-Gonzales respectfully requests that the Court grant the above motions.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michelle Betancourt

15 || Dated: January 22, 2008

MICHELLE BETANCOURT
Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc.
Attorneys for Mr. Lopez-Gonzales